

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,888	09/12/2003	Mohamad El-Batal	LSI.81US01 (03-1078)	6950
24319 LSI CORPORA	7590 02/13/2009 ATION	8	EXAMINER	
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035			CHERY, MARDOCHEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2188	
				DEL IVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			02/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/660,888	EL-BATAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	West or the state of the state			
	Mardochee Chery	2188	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress			
Period for Reply			,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Oc	<u>ctober 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
.—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		·			
Application Papers			. '			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contract	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number:

10/660,888 Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office action is a reply to applicants' communication filed on October 25, 2007 in response to PTO Office Action mailed on June 25, 2007. Applicant's remarks and amendments to the claims and/or the specification were considered with the results that follow.
- 2. In response to the last Office Action, claim 1 has been amended. Claims 1-24 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number:

10/660,888 Art Unit: 2188

5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ofek (6,549,921).

As per claim 1, Ofek discloses a method for recovering data in a redundant data storage system having a plurality of data storage units [Fig. 1, col. 14, lines 38-46], said method comprising: storing said data on said plurality of data storage units according to a redundant data storage method [col. 14, lines 38-46]; removing one of said plurality of data storage units for a period [col. 14, lines 48-49]; starting a delta log concurrent with said step of removing one of said plurality of data storage units [col. 14, lines 50-]; changing a portion of said data on the remainder of said plurality of data storage units during the period when one of said plurality of data storage units is removed in accordance with the redundant data storage method [col. 14, lines 59-64]; storing a record of said changes in said delta log during the when one of said plurality of data storage units is removed [col. 14, lines 59-64]; replacing said one of said plurality of data storage units [col. 14, lines 59-64]; and updating said one of said plurality of data storage units [col. 14, lines 59-64].

As per claims 9 and 17, the rationale in the rejection of claim 1 is herein incorporated. Ofek further discloses a redundant data storage system capable of fast restoration of serviced data storage units [Fig. 1] comprising: a plurality of data storage units [Fig. 1, Storage devices 15, 16, 42,43]; and a controller that stores data on said plurality of data storage units according to a redundant data storage method [Fig. 1, controllers 31,

Application/Control Number:

10/660,888 Art Unit: 2188

34], changes a portion of said data after taking one of said plurality of said data storage units off line [col. 18, lines 1-7], stores a record of the changes in a delta log that are

made to the remainder of the plurality of said data storage units [col. 18, lines 5-7],

brings said one of said plurality of said data storage units online, and updates said one

of said plurality of said data storage units by updating those portions of data recorded in

said delta file [col. 18, 13-19].

As per claims 2, 10 and 18, Ofek discloses the redundant data storage method

comprises RAID 1 [col.1, line 35-45].

As per claims 3, 11 and 19, Ofek discloses redundant data storage method

comprises RAID 3 [col. 1, lines 35-45].

As per claims 4, 12 and 20, Ofek discloses redundant data storage method

comprises RAID 5 [col. 1, lines 35-45].

As per claims 5, 13 and 21, Ofek discloses the redundant data storage method

comprises remotely mirroring the data [col. 1, lines 36-45].

As per claims 6, 14 and 22, Ofek discloses the one of said data storage units

comprises a plurality of disk drives [col. 3, lines 44-48].

10/660,888 Art Unit: 2188

As per claims 7, 15 and 23, Ofek discloses delta file comprises pointers to said portion of said data that is changed [col. 14, lines 50-64].

As per claims 8, 16 and 24, Ofek discloses the delta file comprises an updated version of the portion of the data that is changed [col. 18, lines 12-19].

Conclusion

- 6. When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty that he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 C.F.R. 1.111(c).
- 7. When responding to the Office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out where support, with reference to page, line numbers, and figures, is found for any amendment made to the claims.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mardochee Chery whose telephone number is (571) 272-4246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30A-5:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung Sough can be reached on (571) 272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/660,888

Art Unit: 2188

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 8, 2008

HYUNG S SOUGH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

0111418

Mardochee Chery

Examiner AU: 2188